

CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH 44221

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FMW-QQ-PCT-US 6181 10/009,184 05/15/2002 Wolfgang Ehrfeld EXAMINER 06/30/2004 28862 7590 HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE, CO., L.P.A. KUHNS, ALLAN R 2020 FRONT STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 307

> 1732 DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* ; \$	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/009,184	EHRFELD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allan Kuhns	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-11 and 25-33 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-14 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/009,184

Art Unit: 1732

- 1. The drawings filed March 15, 2004 are approved by the examiner.
- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 12-14 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/39501 as set forth in the previous Office action.
 - 4. Claims 1-11 and 25-33 are allowed.
- 5.Applicants' arguments filed March 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants assert that the WO '501 reference teaches the preparation of two different types of microcomponents, the first being formed from an electrically non-conductive molding material and the second being formed from an electrically conductive material only using galvanic methods. Applicants then argue that (1) there is no teaching or suggestion within that reference to use the same prefabricated magazine several times for the replicative fabrication of microcomponents as claimed in step "a" of claim 12, and (2) that there is especially no teaching or suggestion in that reference for utilizing a prefabricated magazine to produce microcomponents of the first type, much less a teaching for utilizing a prefabricated magazine for replicative fabricating

These arguments are not persuasive. To the examiner, at issue here appears to be the interpretation of "replicatively" in clause (a) of claim 1. Applicants argue that this term requires using the prefabricated magazine several times for the fabrication of

Application/Control Number: 10/009,184

Art Unit: 1732

microcomponents. But to the examiner, this term could also be interpreted as requiring the replication of the surface and/or form of one microstructured molded part.

6.THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/009,184

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

alla R. Kilms

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

6-25-04